

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/659,650	09/12/2000	Hassan Hagirahim	Hagirahim 8-7	6967	
46363	7590 06/29/2005	EXAMI		INER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/			CHANG, RICHARD		
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			2663		
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		-		
	09/659,650	HAGIRAHIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	Richard Chang	2663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/2/20	<u>005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-3,12-15 and 24-29 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-11, 16-23 and 30-32</u> is/are objected	to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 2004 is/a	are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, ,,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments and amendment with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1,13, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear where the "multiplexing said first and second voice traffic" take place, said VoIP gateway or the said second VoIP gateway in claims 1,13, 25 and 27. For further consideration, the examiner assumes the previous conditions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects

Application/Control Number: 09/659,650

Art Unit: 2663

for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-3, 12-15 and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by US patent No. 6, 363,065 ("Thornton et al.").

Regarding claims 1, 13 and 27. Thornton et al. teach a method, apparatus and gateway for a Voice over IP (VoIP) telephony gateway by paired use (200 as source and 200' as destination, See Fig. 4) at opposite ends of a data network connection comprising of:

receiving the incoming T1-line (263) Time Division Multiplexed (TDM) telephony serial signal stream (voice traffic) at an originating VoIP gateway (200) (See Fig. 2, Col. 12, lines 42-44),

determining within the DSP (225) and the microcontroller (240) whether an appropriate destination IP addresses is serviced over the data network to a destination peer gateway (200', second VOIP gateway) (See Fig. 2, Col. 13, lines 57-62 and Col. 14, lines 3-8),

multiplexing the incoming time slot (said voice traffic), via a TDM switch (250) controlled by microcontroller (240), to an outgoing TDM bus (228) at said VOIP gateway (200) (See Fig. 2, Col. 13, lines 52-56), and

transporting the multiplexed digital telephony serial signal stream (multiplexed voice traffic) to an affirmed destination (responsive to an affirmative ... second VOIP gateway) peer gateway (200', said second VOIP gateway) utilizing suitable IP packets with appropriate IP addresses, converted within the DSP (225) and the microcontroller (240), over the data network (See Fig. 2, Col. 13, lines 56-62).

Application/Control Number: 09/659,650

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding claims 2,14 and 28 Thornton et al. further teach that the receiving the incoming T1-line (263) TDM telephony serial signal stream (voice traffic) (See Fig. 2, Col. 12, lines 42-44) at the gatekeepers (420) of an originating VoIP gateway (200) is received within the payload portions of user Datagram Protocol (UDP/Internet Protocol (IP)) packets (See Fig. 4A, Col. 17, lines 34-42).

Regarding claims 3,15 and 29 Thornton et al. further teach that the outgoing payload information for each time-multiplexed slot is assembled (See Fig. 2, Col. 12, lines 51-57) at the gatekeepers (420) of an originating VoIP gateway (200) within the payload portions of user Datagram Protocol (UDP/Internet Protocol (IP)) packets (See Fig. 4A, Col. 17, lines 34-42).

Regarding claims 12,24 and 26 Thornton et al. further teach the gatekeeper (420) determines whether it can resolve the called number into an IP address of the called gateway (200', said second VOIP gateway) (See Fig. 12, Col. 43, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 25. Thornton et al. further teach that the gateway (200) is a microcontroller (240 as processor) based system having flash memory (205 as storage device) (See Fig. 2, Col. 11, lines 65-66), which stores program code (instruction) and other information for the gateway (200) operations (See Fig. 2, Col. 15, lines 23-24).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4-11, 16-23 and 30-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all

Art Unit: 2663

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if no art rejection can be applied.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ки∕ rkc

Richard Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2663

PRIMARY EXAMINER